

Chapter 1: Introduction

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	1-1
1.1	South Stream Offshore Pipeline Overview	1-1
1.1.1	Need for the South Stream Offshore Pipeline	1-2
1.1.1.1	Current European Union Gas Consumption, Demand, and Pipeline Capacity	1-2
1.1.1.2	European Union Production and Demand Forecasts	1-3
1.1.2	South Stream Offshore Pipeline Proponent	1-9
1.1.2.1	Gazprom, Russia	1-9
1.1.2.2	Eni, Italy	1-10
1.1.2.3	EDF Group, France	1-10
1.1.2.4	Wintershall, Germany	1-10
1.2	Project Overview	1-10
1.2.1	Project Area	1-13
1.2.1.1	Landfall Section	1-13
1.2.1.2	Nearshore Section	1-14
1.2.1.3	Offshore Section	1-14
1.2.2	Associated Facilities	1-15
1.2.2.1	Russkaya Compressor Station	1-15
1.2.3	South Stream Pipeline System	1-16
1.2.4	South Stream Offshore Pipeline Phases and Timeline	1-19
1.3	EIA and ESIA Requirements for the Project	1-20
1.4	Objectives of this ESIA	1-20
1.4.1	Area of Influence of the Project	1-21
1.4.2	Cumulative and Transboundary Impacts	1-22
1.4.3	Structure of the ESIA Report	1-22
1.5	Related South Stream Offshore Pipeline Impact Assessment Documents	1-26

Tables

Table 1.1 IEA: Future Demand Scenarios for EU	1-4
Table 1.2 IEA: Predicted Gas Demand in EU (bcm)	1-5
Table 1.3 IEA: Gas Demand EU minus Domestic Production: Net Import Requirements (bcm)	1-5
Table 1.4 WM: Future Demand Scenarios for Europe.....	1-6
Table 1.5 WM: Predicted Gas Demand in Europe (bcm).....	1-6
Table 1.6 WM: European Gas Demand minus Domestic Production: Net Import Requirements (bcm)	1-7
Table 1.7 South Stream Offshore Pipeline Forecast Maximum Contribution to Import Demand, 2035.....	1-8
Table 1.8 South Stream Pipeline System.....	1-16
Table 1.9 ESIA Report Structure	1-22

Figures

Figure 1.1 South Stream Pipeline System	1-1
Figure 1.2 South Stream Offshore Pipeline	1-2
Figure 1.3 EU Gas Demand and Import Forecast – New Policies Scenario 2010-2035 (bcm)	1-7
Figure 1.4 Europe Gas Demand and Import Forecast – Base Case 2013-2035.....	1-8
Figure 1.5 South Stream Offshore Pipeline – Russian Sector	1-11
Figure 1.6 South Stream Offshore Pipeline – Russian Sector	1-13
Figure 1.7 Project Interface with Upstream Pipeline	1-17
Figure 1.8 South Stream Offshore Pipeline Timeline.....	1-19

1 Introduction

1.1 South Stream Offshore Pipeline Overview

The South Stream Offshore Pipeline is the offshore component of the South Stream Pipeline System that will transport natural gas extracted in Russia to countries of Central and South-Eastern Europe (Figure 1.1).

This Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) Report has been prepared specifically for the Russian Sector of the South Stream Offshore Pipeline, referred to as the 'South Stream Offshore Pipeline – Russian Sector' or as 'the Project' throughout this Report¹.

Separate ESIA Reports have been prepared by South Stream Transport B.V. (South Stream Transport) for the Turkish and Bulgarian Sectors of the South Stream Offshore Pipeline. In addition, separate Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs) have been undertaken by other companies for the other components of the South Stream Pipeline System.

Figure 1.1 South Stream Pipeline System



¹ Where this report refers to the 'South Stream Offshore Pipeline', and not to 'the Project', the intent is to refer to the overall South Stream Offshore Pipeline covering all three countries (Russia, Turkey and Bulgaria).

The South Stream Offshore Pipeline will comprise four adjacent pipelines extending approximately 931 kilometres (km) across the Black Sea from the Russian coast near Anapa, through the Russian, Turkish, and Bulgarian Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs), to the Bulgarian coast near Varna (Figure 1.2). In addition to the offshore pipelines, the South Stream Offshore Pipeline will consist of short onshore sections in Russia and Bulgaria, with facilities to meter the gas prior to and after transportation through the offshore system. When complete, the South Stream Offshore Pipeline will be able to transport 63 billion cubic metres (bcm) of natural gas annually. Each of the four pipelines will have a maximum flow rate of approximately 15.75 bcm per year, and a maximum design pressure of 300 bar.

Figure 1.2 South Stream Offshore Pipeline



All geographic boundaries depicted in maps in this ESIA Report relate to February 2014.

This chapter provides an overview of the proposed development in Russia, the impact assessment process, the scope of the ESIA Report, the anticipated schedule for development, and the structure and content of this ESIA Report.

1.1.1 Need for the South Stream Offshore Pipeline

1.1.1.1 Current European Union Gas Consumption, Demand, and Pipeline Capacity

Natural gas plays a significant role in Europe's energy mix: in 2011 approximately 24% (Ref. 1.1) of the European Union (EU) member states' (EU-28) primary energy consumption

came from natural gas, with only around 41% of that demand being met by domestic EU-28 production (i.e. by gas fields within the EU).

In 2011, EU gross inland consumption (production plus net import) of dry natural gas was approximately 492 bcm (Ref. 1.2), production was approximately 185 bcm (Ref. 1.3), and net imports amounted to approximately 308 bcm (Ref. 1.4).

The EU secures imports from a variety of sources, including traditional suppliers such as Russia, Norway and Algeria (Ref. 1.5). Within the broader European region (i.e. not limited to the 28 EU member states), Russia supplied approximately 130 bcm in 2012 (Ref. 1.6).

1.1.1.2 European Union Production and Demand Forecasts

Future estimates of EU production and demand are inherently uncertain and require a number of assumptions regarding, for example, changes in gross domestic product (GDP), population, energy sector composition and prices, and government policy. Given these uncertainties, this section incorporates forecasts from two sources: International Energy Agency (IEA) (Ref. 1.1 to 1.5), which is an independent agency that produces yearly reports on the World energy and production and consumption and Wood Mackenzie (WM) (Ref. 1.7), an energy consulting company engaged by South Stream Transport as Lenders' Gas Market Consultant to carry out a market analysis with specific reference to the South Stream Offshore Pipeline. Each source analyses three scenarios designed to reflect future demand relative to supply. The following sections present the results from each of these reports.

The results from the IEA and WM reports are not directly comparable because they are based on different future demand scenarios and geographical scope. The IEA report bases its forecasts on a definition of Europe that is reflected by the 28 members of the European Union, whereas the WM report defines Europe² as the 28 member states as well as Bosnia and Herzegovina, Norway, Serbia, Switzerland and Turkey. It should be noted that the inclusion of, particularly, Norway (production) and Turkey (demand) is a key source of the differences in the forecasts.

International Energy Agency (IEA) Forecasts

Table 1.1 describes the IEA scenarios for future EU demand and the assumptions that underpin them.

² The WM data presented in this report reflects the forecast conventional natural gas supply for the following countries: Austria, Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Norway, Netherlands, Poland, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Spain, Turkey, and United Kingdom. Forecast demand for conventional gas is presented for the following countries: Austria, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Macedonia, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, and United Kingdom.

Table 1.1 IEA: Future Demand Scenarios for EU

Scenario Assumptions	
New Policies Scenario	<p>The New Policies Scenario incorporates policies and measures that affect energy markets and that had been adopted as of mid-2013. It also takes account of other relevant commitments that have been announced, even when the precise implementation measures have yet to be fully defined. These commitments include programmes to support renewable energy and improve energy efficiency, initiatives to promote alternative fuels and vehicles, carbon pricing and policies related to the expansion or phase-out of nuclear energy, and initiatives taken by G-20³ and Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) economies to reform fossil-fuel subsidies.</p> <p>Under the New Policies Scenario, gas demand in the EU is forecast to reach 505 bcm per year by 2035.</p>
Current Policies Scenario	<p>The Current Policies Scenario takes into account only those policies and measures affecting energy markets that were formally enacted as of mid-2013. It describes a future in which governments do not implement any recent commitments that have yet to be backed-up by legislation or introduce other new policies bearing on the energy sector. The scenario is designed to provide a baseline picture of how global energy markets would evolve if established trends in energy demand and supply continue unabated.</p> <p>Under the Current Policies Scenario, gas demand in the EU is predicted to reach 566 bcm per year by 2035.</p>
"450" Scenario	<p>The "450 Scenario" shows what is needed to set the global energy sector on a course comparable with a near 50% chance of limiting the long-term increase in the average global temperature to two degrees Celsius (2°C). This scenario leads to a peak in the concentration of greenhouse gases (GHGs) in the atmosphere around the middle of this century, at a level above 450 parts per million (ppm), but not so high as to be likely to precipitate changes that make the 2°C objective unattainable. For the period to 2020, policy action aiming at fully implementing the commitments under the Cancun Agreements is assumed to be undertaken. After 2020, the Organisation for Economic Co-ordination and Development (OECD) countries and other major economies are assumed to implement emissions reduction measures that, collectively, ensure a trajectory consistent with the target. From 2020, OECD countries are assumed to mobilise US \$100 billion in annual financing from a variety of sources for abatement measures in non-OECD countries.</p> <p>Under the 450 Scenario, gas demand in the EU is predicted to be 384 bcm per year by 2035.</p>

Table 1.2 contains estimated future demand for natural gas in the EU for all IEA scenarios to 2035. It also contains forecast EU production over the same period.

³ G-20 refers to the group of 20 finance ministers and central bank governors

Table 1.2 IEA: Predicted Gas Demand in EU (bcm)

	2020	2025	2030	2035
New Policy Scenario	452	477	491	505
Current Policy Scenario	467	n/a	533	566
450 Scenario	426	n/a	401	384
EU production (bcm)	135	122	114	104

Converted from mtoe to bcm using conversion factor of 1.11

In contrast to increasing demand, EU natural gas production is forecast by IEA to fall from 185 bcm per year in 2011 to 104 bcm per year in 2035 (Ref. 1.1). Reduced domestic gas production means that under the New Policy Scenario approximately 79% of EU forecast demand in 2035, or 401 bcm per year in absolute terms, will have to be met by natural gas imports (Ref. 1.1). Table 1.3 shows the predicted net import requirements for all future scenarios, given forecast demand.

Table 1.3 IEA: Gas Demand EU minus Domestic Production: Net Import Requirements (bcm)

	2020	2025	2030	2035
New Policy Scenario	317	355	377	401
Current Policy Scenario	332		419	462
450 Scenario	291		287	280

Wood Mackenzie (WM) Forecasts

Table 1.4 describes the WM scenarios for future EU demand and the assumptions that underpin them.

Table 1.4 WM: Future Demand Scenarios for Europe

Scenario Assumptions	
Base case	<p>Demand growth will be driven by increasing energy intensity in emerging European economies as well as recovery in the power sector. Gas demand in the power sector will recover somewhat gas utilisation from the current record low levels. This will be supported by a fundamental rebalancing of the EU Emission Trading Scheme taking effect towards the end of the forecast period, against a backdrop of coal retirements.</p> <p>In mature markets such as Italy, Germany and the UK gas demand will remain flat or decline slightly. Gas markets in Central and Eastern Europe, including Turkey, have greater long term scope for gas penetration driven by gas infrastructure developments and increasing energy demand per capita.</p> <p>Under the Base Case scenario, gas demand is estimated to be 623 bcm by 2035.</p>
High case	<p>This scenario assumes a faster economic recovery, lower efficiency gains and greater penetration of gas in the power sector.</p> <p>Total gas demand is forecast to reach 760 bcm by 2035.</p>
Low case	<p>This scenario assumes that gas demand declines in mature economies continue, however this is offset by increased energy intensity in emerging European economies, notably Turkey and new uses for gas such as LNG bunkering.</p> <p>Total gas demand is forecast to grow, albeit at a slower rate. Gas demand grows from 502 bcm in 2013 to 544 bcm in 2035.</p>

Table 1.5 contains estimated future demand for natural gas in Europe for all WM scenarios to 2035. It also contains forecast European production over the same period. As with the IEA report, it shows demand for natural gas increasing at the same time that European production is declining.

Table 1.5 WM: Predicted Gas Demand in Europe (bcm)

	2020	2025	2030	2035
Base case	568	590	600	623
High case	637	683	719	760
Low case	523	533	531	544
European production (base case)	261	224	201	185

Reduced domestic gas production means that under the Base Case Scenario approximately two thirds of European forecast demand in 2035, or 438 bcm per year in absolute terms, will have to be met by natural gas imports (Ref. 1.7).

Table 1.6 contains the predicted net import requirements for all future scenarios, given forecast demand.

Table 1.6 WM: European Gas Demand minus Domestic Production: Net Import Requirements (bcm)


	2020	2025	2030	2035
Base case	307	366	399	438
High case	375	459	517	575
Low case	261	309	330	354

Summary

The South Stream Offshore Pipeline will respond to increased demand for foreign natural gas by providing transport capacity of 63 bcm per year, which will be directed to the European supply network.

Results from the IEA report suggest that this capacity could contribute to the expected increased reliance on imported natural gas resulting from the combination of declining EU production and increased demand in 2035 under the New Policy scenario (Figure 1.3).

Figure 1.3 EU Gas Demand and Import Forecast – New Policies Scenario 2010-2035 (bcm)



The results from the WM report suggest that the South Stream Offshore Pipeline will contribute to the expected increase in imported natural gas resulting from the combination of declining European production and increased demand in 2035, under the Base Case scenario (see Figure 1.4).

Figure 1.4 Europe Gas Demand and Import Forecast – Base Case 2013-2035




Table 1.7 contains the forecast contribution of the South Stream Offshore Pipeline to meeting future import demand for natural gas, for all IEA and WM scenarios. It shows that the contribution of the South Stream Offshore Pipeline is estimated to range from 11% to 22% under the future scenarios presented in the IEA and WM reports.

Table 1.7 South Stream Offshore Pipeline Forecast Maximum Contribution to Import Demand, 2035

Potential Maximum Contribution to Total Import Demand	
<i>IEA Results</i>	
New Policy scenario	16%
Current Policy scenario	14%
450 scenario	22%

Continued...

Potential Maximum Contribution to Total Import Demand

WM Results

Base case	14%
High case	11%
Low case	18%

It should be noted that these forecasts are based on the pipeline operating at full capacity.

Complete.

Although both the IEA 'New policy' and WM 'Base case' scenarios result approximately in the same estimated contribution being made to total import demand (i.e. 16% and 14% respectively), this does not necessarily reflect agreement between the two estimates. As previously stated, the IEA and WM forecasts are not directly comparable because different future scenarios and geographical scopes have been used.

1.1.2 South Stream Offshore Pipeline Proponent

The South Stream Offshore Pipeline is being developed by South Stream Transport B.V. (South Stream Transport)⁴, an international joint venture established on 14 November 2012 in Amsterdam, the Netherlands, for the planning, construction, and subsequent operation of the offshore gas pipeline through the Black Sea. The Russian company Gazprom holds a 50% stake in South Stream Transport, the Italian company Eni has a 20% stake and the French energy company EDF Group and German company Wintershall each hold 15%.

1.1.2.1 Gazprom, Russia

Gazprom is the world's largest supplier of natural gas, accounting for approximately 15% of global gas production in 2012. It was established as a joint stock company in 1993, and is partly owned by the Russian state (50.002%). The company's core activities include the exploration, production, transportation, storage, processing and marketing of hydrocarbons, as well as the generation and marketing of heat and electric power.

Gazprom controls 72% of Russian gas reserves producing 74% of all Russian natural gas output. A leading company in the construction and operation of gas pipelines, it controls the world's largest gas transmission network – the United Gas Supply System of Russia with a total length of over 168 thousand kilometres.

⁴ Previously, the Project was developed by Gazprom during 2009-2011, and then by South Stream Transport AG during 2011-2012. The head office of South Stream Transport was then moved from Switzerland to the Netherlands, where the Shareholders established South Stream Transport B.V. in November 2012.

1.1.2.2 Eni, Italy

Headquartered in Italy, Eni is one of the world's major integrated energy companies, operating in the sectors of oil and gas exploration and production, international gas transportation and marketing, power generation, refining and marketing, chemicals and oilfield services.

1.1.2.3 EDF Group, France

The EDF Group, one of the leaders in the European energy market, is an integrated energy company active in all areas of the business: generation, transmission, distribution, energy supply and trading, including provision of natural gas supplies. The EDF Group is the leading electricity producer in Europe.

1.1.2.4 Wintershall, Germany

Wintershall, based in Kassel, Germany, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of BASF. The company has been active in the exploration and production of crude oil and natural gas for over 80 years and is now Germany's largest crude oil and natural gas producer.


1.2 Project Overview

The Russian Sector extends approximately 230 km in length from a location approximately 10 km south of the town of Anapa, in the Krasnodar Krai (or Region), to the border between the Russian and Turkish EEZs (Figure 1.2) in the Black Sea. Of the 230 km, approximately 5 km are onshore, 50 km are within the territorial waters of the Russian Federation, and 175 km are within the EEZ of the Russian Federation.

The coastal region surrounding Anapa is a popular holiday destination because of its beaches, warm climate and the presence of sites of cultural, historical and natural interest. Anapa is categorised by Russian Federation legislation as a "health-improving" resort area⁵, and has a sanitary protection area (SPA) that consists of three distinct zones, each with different restrictions. The proposed Project infrastructure does not fall within any zones of the SPA. Further details on the SPA are included in **Chapter 2 Policy, Regulatory and Administrative Framework**.

Land use in the vicinity of the Project is largely agricultural and includes a number of vineyards. The Project is also located in proximity to the Utrish State Nature Reserve but does not traverse its territory. The Utrish reserve is located approximately 4 km southeast of the landfall section and owes its protected status to the natural habitats that include botanical and faunal species of local, national, and international importance. The majority of the Project is located offshore, as depicted in Figure 1.5.

⁵ The boundaries and management of this area were established by the Decree of the Council of Ministers of the Soviet Union dated January 30, 1985 N 45 (Ref. 1.8).



LEGEND

Russian Sector of South Stream Offshore Pipeline

- Proposed landfall section pipelines
- Landfall facilities
- Proposed microtunnels
- Proposed offshore pipelines
- Microtunnel entry shaft
- Microtunnel exit pit
- Project Area - landfall section (indicative)
- Project Area - nearshore section (indicative)
- Project Area - offshore section (indicative)
- Russian Territorial Waters boundary
- Exclusive Economic Zone boundary
- Isobaths

NOTE

Note that for the marine ecology assessment, the nearshore is considered to also include the area from the shore to 23 m water depth, because these two sections of the Project Area are ecologically contiguous.

Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic

Revision Details		By	Check	Date	Suffix

Purpose of Issue: For Information

Client: **South Stream** Offshore Pipeline

Project Title: **SOUTH STREAM OFFSHORE PIPELINE**

Drawing Title: **SOUTH STREAM OFFSHORE PIPELINE - RUSSIAN SECTOR**

Drawn	Checked	Approved	Date
DH	RW	MW	15 Apr 2014

URS Internal Project No. 46369082

Scale @ A3 1:650,000

This document has been prepared in accordance with the scope of URS' appointment with its client and is subject to the terms of that appointment. URS accepts no liability for any use of this document other than by its client and only for the purposes for which it was prepared and provided. Only written dimensions shall be used.
© URS Infrastructure & Environment UK Limited

URS Infrastructure & Environment UK Limited
Scott House
Alençon Link, Basingstoke
Hampshire, RG21 7PP
Telephone (01256) 310200
Fax (01256) 310201
www.ursglobal.com

URS

Drawing Number	Rev
Figure 1.5	


This page has been left intentionally blank

Further details of the Project Area are provided in the Section 1.2.1. In summary, the four pipelines are routed along the continental shelf, down the continental slope, and then along the abyssal plain to the border of the Russian and Turkish EEZs (Figure 1.5). The water depth and the physical characteristics of the Black Sea present a challenge for the Project and have influenced a number of key technical decisions, including the routing of the pipelines and the siting of the landfall facilities.

1.2.1 Project Area

For the purpose of this ESIA Report, the Project is divided into three sections: the landfall section, the nearshore section and the offshore section (see Figure 1.5 and Figure 1.6). Each of these is discussed in turn in Sections 1.2.1.1 to 1.2.1.3.

Figure 1.6 South Stream Offshore Pipeline – Russian Sector



1.2.1.1 Landfall Section

The landfall section is approximately 4 km long and consists of permanent landfall facilities, which will include metering equipment, pipeline inspection gauge (PIG) trap facilities, and emergency shutdown valves (ESDs), along with the four buried pipelines. Within this section, 2.4 km of the pipelines will be buried with a minimum soil cover of 1.5 metres (m).

As there is a steep sea cliff at the shore crossing, for the remaining 1.4 km the pipelines will be housed in microtunnels. The microtunnels will terminate approximately 400 m from the coast (the exit point) in a water depth of approximately 23 m. The area of the landfall section is defined by the maximum operational safety exclusion zone of 410 m width surrounding the

Pipeline and the landfall facilities. While the entirety of this area may not experience physical impacts, there will be restrictions on future land use and development within the operational safety exclusion zone.

Deliveries of pipe and equipment to the landfall construction areas will be made by road. The Project will require the upgrade of some existing roads and will also require some new roads to be built for access during construction. Further detail is provided in **Chapter 5 Project Description**.

1.2.1.2 Nearshore Section

The nearshore section commences at the exit point of the microtunnels, located approximately 400 m from the coast at a water depth of approximately 23 m and extends approximately 425 m to a water depth of 30 m. The lateral boundaries of the nearshore section consist of a corridor 3 km either side⁶ of the outermost pipeline. From the microtunnel exit point, the pipelines will be buried in trenches to a depth of approximately 2.5 to 3 m for a distance of approximately 170 m. From here, out to the edge of the nearshore section, the pipelines will be coated in concrete and laid directly on the seabed.

Construction activities associated with the installation of the pipelines in the nearshore section will require a number of seagoing vessels. All construction activities in this section of the Project will be carried out at sea.

The pipeline construction work will require support from an onshore support facility, known as a marshalling yard, for the inbound delivery, storage and load out of pipe, plant and equipment. The marshalling yards will be located in Bulgaria and are discussed in the separate ESIA Report for the South Stream Offshore Pipeline – Bulgarian Sector.

1.2.1.3 Offshore Section

The offshore section has an overall length of approximately 225 km. It extends from the edge of the nearshore section at 30 m water depth to the border of the Russian and Turkish EEZs in the Black Sea. The lateral boundaries of the Project Area consists of a corridor 3 km either side of the outermost pipelines, beginning at the boundary of the nearshore section to the 600 m water depth contour. The corridor decreases to 2 km width either side of the outermost pipeline from the 600 m water depth contour to the Russian EEZ boundary⁶.

In this section the pipelines are laid along the continental shelf, down the continental slope, and across the abyssal plain. The abyssal plain lies at the base of the continental slope and gently slopes to the west to a maximum depth of approximately 2,200 m. In the offshore section, the pipelines will be laid directly on the seabed. The pipelines will be coated in concrete out to a water depth of approximately 88 m.

⁶ As defined by the Construction Phase safety exclusion zone around construction vessels.

1.2.2 Associated Facilities

Associated Facilities are defined by the OECD Common Approaches⁷ (Ref. 1.9) as follows:

"...facilities that are not a component of the project but that would not be constructed or expanded if the project did not exist and on whose existence the viability of the project depends; such facilities may be funded, owned, managed, constructed and operated by the buyer and/or project sponsor or separately from the project."

The Equator Principles (EP) (Ref. 1.10) reference Associated Facilities indirectly through the International Finance Corporation (IFC) Performance Standards (PSs) (Ref. 1.11)⁸. The Project's Associated Facilities are consistent with these definitions and include:

- The Russkaya compressor station (CS) and the four pipelines connecting the compressor station with the Project, which are located immediately upstream of the Project in Russia and that are developed and managed by Gazprom Invest (GPI); and
- Designated existing quarries for sourcing material / aggregates, where those existing quarries would require significant expansion for the sole purpose of supplying the Project.

1.2.2.1 Russkaya Compressor Station

The landfall facilities will be connected to the Russkaya CS via four 3.2 km onshore pipelines (Figure 1.7). The Russkaya CS and the four 3.2 km connecting pipelines are not part of the Project and will be designed and installed as part of a separate project known as "Expansion of the United Gas Supply (UGS) System" which is being constructed by Gazprom Invest. However, the CS provides the pressure necessary to drive gas through the Project pipelines across the Black Sea, and therefore it is considered to be an associated facility.

The Russkaya CS has followed a separate engineering and approval process, which included the execution of an EIA and review and approval by Russian authorities. The findings of the EIA are summarised in Appendix 20.1: Environmental Impacts of Associated Facilities: Russkaya Compressor Station (CS) of this ESIA Report, along with a benchmarking of the EIA against international standards. Further consideration of the Russkaya CS is also given in **Chapter 20 Cumulative Impact Assessment**, including cumulative impacts associated with the construction and operation of the Russkaya CS amongst other nearby developments (see Section 1.4.2). Further details on the Project and Associated Facilities including proposed activities that will be carried out are provided in **Chapter 5 Project Description**.

⁷ OECD Common Approaches are the primary environmental and social standards applicable to the Project. Further details are provided in **Chapter 2 Policy, Regulatory and Administrative Framework**.

⁸ IFC PS1 paragraph 8: Associated Facilities are defined as facilities that are not funded as part of the project and that would not have been constructed or expanded if the project did not exist and without which the project would not be viable.

1.2.3 South Stream Pipeline System

The South Stream Pipeline System consists of one offshore and four onshore components as summarised in Table 1.8.

Table 1.8 South Stream Pipeline System

Component / Developer	Key Data	EIA Status (as of April 2014)
South Stream Offshore Pipeline being developed by South Stream Transport.	Length: 931 km (Russia 230 km, Turkey 470 km, Bulgaria 230 km)	<p>Russia: EIA was approved by State Expert Review in March 2014.</p> <p>Turkey: EIA report approved by Ministry of Environment and Urbanisation expected in May 2014.</p> <p>Bulgaria: EIA approved by the Ministry of Water and Environment in January 2014.</p>
South Stream Pipeline Bulgaria being developed by South Stream Bulgaria AD.	<p>Length: 538 km</p> <p>Compressor Stations: 3 (Varna, Lozen and Rasovo) 300 MegaWatt (MW) aggregate capacity</p>	EIA approved by the Ministry of Environment and Water in August 2013.
South Stream Pipeline Serbia being developed by South Stream Serbia AG.	<p>Length: 422 km</p> <p>Compressor Stations: two (with 225 MW aggregate capacity)</p>	EIA approved by the Ministry of Energy Development and Environmental Protection in December 2013.
South Stream Pipeline Hungary being developed by South Stream Hungary Zrt.	<p>Length: 299 km</p> <p>Compressor Stations: one (100 MW capacity)</p>	EIA to be submitted to authorities in January 2015.
South Stream Pipeline Slovenia being developed by South Stream Slovenia d.o.o.	<p>Length: 266 km</p> <p>Compressor Stations: two (128 MW aggregate capacity)</p>	EIA to be submitted to authorities in 2014/2015.
TOTAL	<p>Length: 2,456 km</p> <p>Compressor Stations: 8</p>	

The components of the South Stream Pipeline System on the territory of Bulgaria, Serbia, Hungary, and Slovenia are separate projects and are subject to separate EIAs in compliance with national legislation.



LEGEND

Russian Sector of South Stream Offshore Pipeline

- Proposed landfall section pipelines
- Landfall facilities
- Proposed microtunnels
- Proposed offshore pipelines
- Right of way
- Microtunnel entry shaft
- Microtunnel exit pit

United Gas Supply System

- United Gas Supply System pipelines
- Russkaya compressor station

Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic

Revision Details	By	Check	Date	Suffix

Purpose of issue: For Information

Client: **South Stream** Offshore Pipeline **ENERGISING EUROPE**

Project Title: **SOUTH STREAM OFFSHORE PIPELINE**

Drawing Title: **PROJECT INTERFACE WITH UPSTREAM PIPELINE**

Drawn	Checked	Approved	Date
DH	RW	MW	05 Mar 2014

URS Internal Project No. 46369082

Scale @ A3 1:20,000

This document has been prepared in accordance with the scope of URS' appointment with its client and is subject to the terms of that appointment. URS accepts no liability for any use of this document other than by its client and only for the purposes for which it was prepared and provided. Only written dimensions shall be used.
© URS Infrastructure & Environment UK Limited

URS Infrastructure & Environment UK Limited
Scott House
Alençon Link, Basingstoke
Hampshire, RG21 7PP
Telephone (01256) 310200
Fax (01256) 310201
www.ursglobal.com

URS

Drawing Number: **Figure 1.7**

Rev

This page has been left intentionally blank


1.2.4 South Stream Offshore Pipeline Phases and Timeline

South Stream Offshore Pipeline development includes five key phases:

- **Feasibility Phase** (2007 to early 2012) initiated by Gazprom. This phase involved the development of Feasibility Studies in which a number of gas pipeline routes and landfall options were assessed and a preliminary engineering (conceptual) design was developed. The phase also included a Preliminary EIA developed for Russian permitting requirements that was approved by the State Environmental Expert Review on 24 September 2010;
- **Development (or Design) Phase** (late 2011 to late 2013) undertaken by South Stream Transport. This phase involves development of the Front End Engineering and Design (FEED) together with Russian Project Design Documentation (Proekt) and national EIA. This phase also includes development of the ESIA's and Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) to meet the international standards and guidelines for financing;
- **Construction and Pre-Commissioning Phase** (2014 to end 2017). This phase will involve construction activities and a number of activities, known as pre-commissioning activities, which will be undertaken after each pipeline has been installed to ensure that the pipelines meet operational requirements;
- **Operational Phase (consisting of Commissioning and Full Operational Phase)** (2017 to 2065). The Project will have an operational design life of 50 years; and
- **Decommissioning Phase** (2065 onwards).

An indicative timeline for the South Stream Offshore Pipeline is provided in Figure 1.8.

Figure 1.8 South Stream Offshore Pipeline Timeline



1.3 EIA and ESIA Requirements for the Project

The Project is subject to impact assessments for both national regulatory and international financing requirements. As the Project is located within the territory and waters of the Russian Federation, the Project has also submitted EIA documentation in accordance with Russian Federation legal requirements.

As the Project will be subject to project finance, this ESIA Report is aligned with the environmental and social performance standards and guidelines of international financing.

The environmental and social standards and guidelines of the Project are as follows:

- The OECD Common Approaches on the Environment and Officially Supported Export Credits, dated 2012 (Ref. 1.9);
- The Equator Principles III (2013) (Ref. 1.10);
- Japan Bank for International Cooperation (JBIC) Guidelines for Confirmation of Environmental and Social Consideration, dated 2012 (Ref. 1.12); and
- The IFC Performance Standards (2012) and World Bank Group EHS Guidelines (Ref. 1.11), which underpin the OECD Common Approaches and Equator Principles III.⁹

This ESIA Report has been prepared by URS Infrastructure and Environment UK Limited (URS) in accordance with the international standards and guidelines described above. Peter Gaz (a registered Russian company) prepared the EIA documentation required under Russian law.

Information from the national EIA process preceded and therefore informed the ESIA. URS further addressed a number of issues that were necessary to meet requirements and standards for international financing. URS and Peter Gaz coordinated the technical development of the ESIA and EIA chapters to ensure consistency of methodology, approach and content as far as practicable.

Nevertheless, there are differences between the two documents in relation to their content and in the quantification of some impacts. These variances are due mainly to the difference between the Russian Federation EIA regulatory requirements and conventional ESIA practice as set out in the standards and guidelines for international financing.

1.4 Objectives of this ESIA

In accordance with the Equator Principles, the OECD Common Approaches and JBIC Guidelines, the objectives of this ESIA Report are based on those of IFC PS1: Assessment and Management of Environmental and Social Risks (Ref. 1.13), which are:

⁹ As per IFC PS, South Stream Transport is committed to implementing Good International Industry Practice (GIIP) in relation to environmental and social performance in all phases of the South Stream Offshore Pipeline. Further details on the standards and guidelines relevant to this ESIA Report are included in **Chapter 2 Policy, Regulatory and Administrative Framework**.

- *"To identify and evaluate environmental and social risks and impacts of the project;*
- *To adopt a mitigation hierarchy to anticipate and avoid, or where avoidance is not possible, minimize, and, where residual impacts remain, compensate / offset for risks and impacts to workers, affected communities, and the environment;*
- *To promote improved environmental and social performance of clients through the effective use of management systems;*
- *To ensure that grievances from affected communities and external communications from other stakeholders are responded to and managed appropriately; and*
- *To promote and provide means for adequate engagement with affected communities throughout the project cycle on issues that could potentially affect them and to ensure that relevant environmental and social information is disclosed and disseminated."*

1.4.1 Area of Influence of the Project

This ESIA Report has been prepared taking into consideration the definition of Project Area of Influence provided by IFC PS1 (Ref. 1.13) which states:

"Where the project involves specifically identified physical elements, aspects, and facilities that are likely to generate impacts, environmental and social risks and impacts will be identified in the context of the project's area of influence. This area of influence encompasses, as appropriate:

- *The area likely to be affected by:*
 - *The project and the client's activities and facilities that are directly owned, operated or managed (including by contractors) and that are a component of the project;*
 - *Impacts from unplanned but predictable developments caused by the project that may occur later or at a different location; or*
 - *Indirect project impacts on biodiversity or on ecosystem services upon which Affected Communities' livelihoods are dependent.*
- *Associated Facilities, which are facilities that are not funded as part of the project and that would not have been constructed or expanded if the project did not exist and without which the project would not be viable; and*
- *Cumulative impacts that result from the incremental impact, on areas or resources used or directly impacted by the project, from other existing, planned or reasonably defined developments at the time the risks and impacts identification process is conducted."*

Consistent with the definition provided above, the Project Area of Influence includes those areas likely to be affected by the main Project facilities (including the Project Area and Associated Facilities described above), and in the case of cumulative impacts, incremental impacts from other developments, unrelated to the Project, that will take place within the vicinity of the Project Area.

The assessment of the potential environmental and social impacts of Associated Facilities has been carried out taking into account the timing and location of their construction, and reasonable efforts have been made to benchmark against relevant international standards using

the available information. In the event of risks and impacts in the Project’s Area of Influence resulting from a third party’s actions, South Stream Transport will address those risks and impacts in a manner commensurate with South Stream Transport’s control and influence over the third parties, and with due regard to conflict of interest.

1.4.2 Cumulative and Transboundary Impacts

While the activities associated with a single project may or may not result in significant impacts, the “cumulative” effects of simultaneous projects, may be more significant and should be considered within an ESIA. This ESIA adopts the IFC PS (Ref. 1.13) definition of cumulative impacts which are defined as:

“Cumulative impacts are those that result from the incremental impact of the Project when added to other existing, planned and reasonably predictable future projects and developments.”

Cumulative impacts may occur as a result of interactions between any residual (i.e. post-mitigation) Project impacts, and the impacts of other activities or developments in the vicinity of the Project Area.

The assessment of cumulative impacts includes the Russkaya CS and well as a number of known and proposed developments within the proximity of the Project. Further details of the approach and schemes considered within the cumulative impact assessment are provided in **Chapter 20 Cumulative Impact Assessment**.

Where specific impacts are anticipated to extend across Project Area boundaries (see Section 1.2.1), the ESIA Report provides a description of the potential geographical extent associated with the impact. In particular, the potential for transboundary impacts (i.e. the potential for the Project Area of Influence to extend across Russian national boundaries) is discussed in **Chapter 21 Transboundary Impact Assessment**.

1.4.3 Structure of the ESIA Report

The ESIA chapter titles and a summary of the approach and content are provided below:

Table 1.9 ESIA Report Structure

Report Structure	
1. Introduction	Presents an overview of the South Stream Offshore Pipeline - Russian Sector and the objectives of the ESIA. This chapter also details the purpose and scope of the ESIA Report.

Continued...

Report Structure

2. Policy, Regulatory and Administrative Framework

The chapter includes:

- A description of the Russian regulatory process to be followed for all Project Activities;
- Identification of Russian environmental and social legislation of relevance to the Project;
- Identification of international treaties and conventions to be adhered to; and
- Identification of international standards and guidelines of relevance to the Project.

3. Impact Assessment Methodology

The chapter includes:

- A description of the ESIA process; and
- A description of the impact assessment methodology and of the adopted impact significance criteria.

4. Analysis of Alternatives

A comparison of the developmental options considered in the Project design phase including the 'zero' option, alternative gas transportation options, routing options and facility and operational options considered.

5. Project Description

A detailed description of:

- Onshore and offshore infrastructure;
- Construction methodologies and staging;
- Hydrotesting and commissioning works;
- Operational conditions and maintenance requirements; and
- Decommissioning process.

6. Stakeholder Engagement

A summary of all Project consultation undertaken, the issues raised, and where these issues have been addressed within the ESIA documentation. The Chapter also describes future consultation activities.

7. Physical and Geophysical Environment

A description of the methods used and results from surveys and secondary data review to define baseline conditions for the physical and geophysical environment. This incorporates a number of aspects including seismology, geology, electromagnetic fields, and ionising radiation.

Continued...

Report Structure

8. Soil, Groundwater and Surface Water

9. Air Quality

10. Noise and Vibration

11. Terrestrial Ecology

12. Marine Ecology

13. Landscape and Visual

14. Socio-Economic

15. Community Health, Safety and Security

16. Cultural Heritage

These chapters include:

- Description of the methods used and results from surveys and secondary data review to define baseline conditions relevant to the technical discipline;
- Assessment of potential impacts arising from all phases of the Project and related activities;
- Identification of practicable mitigation measures to be applied; and
- Assessment of residual impacts associated with the Project following mitigation and the need for monitoring of residual impacts.

17. Ecosystem Services

The chapter includes:

- Description of the methods used and results from surveys and secondary data review to define the scope of the ecosystem services assessment and the baseline conditions for the ecosystems present in the Project Area and their associated services and benefits (e.g. crop productivity, water supply, air, soil and water quality regulation, cultural services and biodiversity);
- Nature and significance of the potential impacts on ecosystem services and their beneficiaries arising from all phases of the Project and related activities;
- Priority ecosystem services;
- Practicable mitigation measures to be applied; and
- Nature and significance of residual impacts associated with the Project following mitigation and the need for monitoring of residual impacts.

Continued...

Report Structure

18. Waste Management

The chapter includes:

- Description of the legal and regulatory framework applicable to the Project based on wastes anticipated to be generated by Project activities;
- Identification of available waste facilities for the Project;
- Assessment of potential impacts arising from the management of wastes;
- Identification of practicable mitigation measures to be applied; and
- Assessing the significance of the residual impacts post mitigation implementation.

19. Unplanned Events

The chapter includes:

- Description of the potential unplanned events and impacts that may arise as a result of the Project;
- Identification of design control and mitigation measures able to be undertaken; and
- Discussion of the residual risk posed by the identified unplanned events and relevant monitoring requirements.

20. Cumulative Impact Assessment

A summary of the potential cumulative impacts as a result of Project associated development and other existing and proposed developments in the vicinity of the Project Area.

21. Transboundary Impact Assessment

A description of the potential for transboundary impacts that may arise as part of the Project.

22. Environmental and Social Management

An outline of the key management measures, processes and monitoring requirements to be undertaken, based on the outcomes of the impact assessment.

23. Conclusions

A summary of the residual impacts arising as a result of the Project and provision of overall conclusions as to the overall environmental and social significance of impacts arising from the Project.

Complete.

As a supplement to the ESIA Report, a non-technical summary (NTS) has been prepared. The NTS describes the findings of the ESIA Report, including the potential environmental and social impacts, and actions that will eliminate, reduce, or mitigate those impacts.

1.5 Related South Stream Offshore Pipeline Impact Assessment Documents

In addition to this ESIA Report and the EIA documentation that have been prepared specifically for the Russian Sector, additional impact assessment documentation has been prepared for the other host countries affected by the South Stream Offshore Pipeline, including:

- A Turkish EIA Report to meet Turkish legislative requirements;
- A Turkish ESIA Report to address international financing requirements for the Turkish Sector;
- A Bulgarian EIA Report to meet Bulgarian legislative requirements; and
- A Bulgarian ESIA Report to address international financing requirements for the Bulgarian Sector.

References

Number	Reference
Ref. 1.1	International Energy Agency, World Energy Outlook 2013, Annex A.
Ref. 1.2	International Energy Agency, World Energy Outlook 2013, Table 3.2.
Ref. 1.3	International Energy Agency, World Energy Outlook 2013, Table 3.4.
Ref. 1.4	International Energy Agency, World Energy Outlook 2013, Table 3.6.
Ref. 1.5	International Energy Agency, World Energy Outlook 2013.
Ref. 1.6	BP, Statistical Review of World Energy 2013.
Ref. 1.7	Wood Mackenzie (2013), South Stream Offshore Pipeline Lenders' Gas Market Consultant: Final Draft Gas Market Report.
Ref. 1.8	Decree of the Council of Ministers of the Soviet Union dated January 30, 1985 N 45.
Ref. 1.9	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Revised Council Recommendation on Common Approaches for officially supported export credits and environmental and social due diligence (June 2012). Available at: http://search.oecd.org/officialdocuments/ . Accessed 24 September 2013.
Ref. 1.10	Equator Principles (June 2013). Available at: http://www.equator-principles.com Accessed 24 September 2013.
Ref. 1.11	International Finance Corporation (IFC) (January 2012), Performance Standards and Guidance Notes on Environment and Social Sustainability available from: http://www.ifc.org . Accessed 18 June 2013.
Ref. 1.12	Japanese Bank for International Cooperation (August 2012), available from: http://www.jbic.go.jp/en . Accessed 31 October 2013.
Ref. 1.13	IFC (2012), Performance Standard 1: Assessment and Management of Environmental and Social Risks and Impacts. Available at: http://www1.ifc.org . Accessed 21 January 2013.